

Lev 11:22	Beetle	Order	Coleoptera
Lev 11:22	Grasshopper	Suborder or Superfamily	Acridiidae & Locustidae
Deut 14:13	Vulture	Family	Cathartidae
Ezk 47:10	Fish	Superclass	Pisces

Another truth which we learn from this study is that the Bible does *not* say that the development of new species is an impossibility. As a matter of fact, if God originally created some living things on the present level of family (for example), then all of the members of the family have since developed, which means that new genera as well as new species have developed. The Bible simply does not teach the dogma of "the fixity of species." As a matter of fact, this dogma grew out of a mistranslation of *min* with the preposition ? coupled with a mistaken identification of the Biblical word *min* with the scientific concept "species."

But further, the Bible does not even say that God created all of the original "kinds" of plants and animals in such a way that no new "kinds" could develop from the original "kinds." I realize that in saying this I am desecrating the "sacred cow" of many who believe that the limits of variability established by the phrase "after their kind" is the last bulwark of Creationism against the flood-tides of Evolutionism. However, not finding this translation "after their kind" is Biblically correct, I do not find it theologically correct! And therefore I do not find it a crucial support for Creationism, nor a great bulwark against Evolutionism.

But let us pause for a moment and reflect. Does this mean that the door is now wide open for a thorough-going Evolutionistic interpretation of the record of the rocks? Is the way now cleared for the Evolutionist's assertion of amoeba-to-man development? Having given up the phrase "after its kind," which was the one remaining defense against Evolutionism, must we now become Evolutionists?

Before we answer too hurriedly (thereby running the risk of making fools